

United States Fatent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Vinginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. 10/017,740 12/14/2001 Richard A. Meyer

M93.12-0247

8802

7590

08/15/2003

Steven M. Koehler WESTMAN CHAMPLIN & KELLY International Centre, Suite 1600 900 South Second Avenue Minneapolis, MN 55402-3319

EXAMINER

MARTIR, LILYBETT

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 08/15/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	·	A == 1!			lm_
		Арріі	cation No.	Applicant(s)	
Offic Action Summer			17,740	MEYER ET AL.	
	Offic Action Summar	Exam	iner	Art Unit	
	The MAILING DATE of this age		ett Martir	2855	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status					
1)□	Responsive to communication	(s) filed on			
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL.	2b)☐ This actio	n is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application.				
€ \□	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
	Si Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
•	Claim(s) is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachment(s)					
1)	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Rev rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-14			Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-	

Application/Control Number: 10/017,740

Art Unit: 2855

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-11, drawn to a load cell, classified in class 73, subclass 862.046.
 - II. Claims 12-25, drawn to a load cell body comprising radial tubes extending from a hub, classified in class 73, subclass 862.046.
 - III. Claims 26-28, drawn to a load cell comprising insulating means, classified in class 73, subclass 862.041.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions III and I are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the invention of claim 1 does not require the utilization of a heat transference reducing device to perform it's functions as a load cell. The subcombination has separate utility such as reducing heat transfer between the claimed sensing elements. Inventions II and I are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the invention of

_

Application/Control Number: 10/017,740

Art Unit: 2855

claim 1 does not require the utilization at least three load sensing radial tubes extending radially from the central hub to it's annular ring to perform it's functions as a load cell. The subcombination has separate utility such as having a distinct supporting structure, which structurally differentiates between the claimed sensing elements and how they perform as load cells. Inventions III and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the invention of claim 12 does not require the utilization of a heat transference reducing device to perform it's functions as a load cell as does claim 26, and claim 26 does not require the utilization at least three load sensing radial tubes extending radially from the central hub to it's annular ring to perform it's functions as a load cell. The subcombinations have separate utility such as reducing heat transfer between the claimed sensing elements of Group III, and the incorporation of radial tubes for the provision of support of Group II.

Page 3

- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for either Groups II and III, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper. A telephone call was made to Steven M. Koehler on

Application/Control Number: 10/017,740 Page 4

Art Unit: 2855

August 13, 2003 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

5. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143). Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Conclusion

- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lilybett Martir whose telephone number is (703)305-6900. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 AM to 5:30 PM.
- 7. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Lefkowitz can be reached on (703)305-4816. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.
- 8. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0956.

Lilybett Martir Examiner

Art Unit 2855

ROW

SUPERVISION PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800